1	CITY COUNCIL & PLANNING BOARD COUNTY OF SARATOGA JOINT MEETING
2	CITY OF MECHANICVILLE
3	**************************************
4	CODE FOR THE PROPERTY PREVIOUSLY KNOWN AS THE WESTVACO PULP & PAPER MILL PROPOSED FOR THE ESPLANADE DEVELOPMENT FROM HEAVY INDUSTRIAL TO MIXED-USE
5	AND PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW OF THE
6	PROPOSED ESPLANADE DEVELOPMENT LOCATED AT THE PREVIOUS WESTVACO PULP & PAPER MILL
7	**************************************
8	proceedings BY NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART commencing on April 6, 2009 at 7:05 p.m. at the Senior Citizens Center 178 North Main Street, Mechanicville, New York
9	CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS:
10	Mayor Anthony J. Sylvester
11	C. Mark Seber Jack Messore
12	JoAnn Reilly Salvatore Izzo.
13	PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS:
14	Mary Grace Izzo, Chairperson Sue Peluso
15	Richard Delaney Larry Case Joel Depeaux
16	Michael Namm
17	Also present:
18	Val Serbalik, Esq., Corporation Counsel
19	Rob Osterhoudt, Creighton Manning Engineering
20	Ken Worsted, Creighton Manning Engineering
21	Don Fletcher, Barton & Loguidice
22	Carmen Lorentz, Camoin Associates
22	Mary Elizabeth Slevin, Esq., Stockli Greene & Slevin, LLP
23	Steve Cotler, Cotler Architects
24	Bill McNeary
25	Ken Green, Logistics One

1	MAYOR SYLVESTER: I'd like to get the meeting
2	started here. This is the Mechanicville Senior Citizens
3	Center, 178 North Main Street, April 6, 2009.
4	I'd like to open the meeting at 7:05 p.m.
5	(The roll was called.)
6	(The pledge was recited.)
7	MAYOR SYLVESTER: I will make a motion to close
8	the regular meeting and go into public hearing to in
9	reference to the zoning code, which is about the heavy
10	industrial district in the city to a mixed-use district
11	and amending the zoning there.
12	The public comment period will be that we go row
13	by row so it will be done in an orderly fashion. Your
14	questions will be taken, written out and after everyone
15	has had a chance to ask questions, we'll get the
16	answers to them.
17	After this meeting is done tonight, if you happen
18	to be home and think of any other questions, you'll be
19	able to send them in until Friday and get them answered
20	either by e-mail, snail mail and they'll be on the web.
21	If they don't have answers to any particular questions
22	tonight like the traffic or anything like that, then we
23	will have another hearing. All questions will be
24	answered.

25

Mark mentioned up until Friday - that's the

1	follow-up period. If you are nome and nappen to think
2	of something during the night and say, gee, I should
3	have asked that, you will still have the opportunity
4	until Friday to do that.
5	I'd like to make a motion to close the regular
6	meeting and go into the public meeting on the city's
7	zoning code.
8	MS. REILLY: So moved.
9	MR. SEBER: Second.
10	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Roll call?
11	(The roll was called.)
12	MAYOR SYLVESTER: I'd like to now ask for a
13	report from the Planning Board regarding the
14	recommendation of zoning changes.
15	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Good evening. I'm Mary Grace
16	Izzo and I'm the Chairman of the Planning Board and
17	this is the recommendation that the Planning Board
18	members and I have presented.
19	Basically the recommendation was sent with the
20	object that it would be open to correction with some of
21	the other studies that we're waiting for to come
22	through.
23	I need the copy of the recommendation, if the
24	Mayor has it? I sent it to you.
25	MAYOR SLYVESTER: I don't have it with me

1	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: I won't be able to read the
2	actual recommendation, but what we tried to do was take
3	the master plan and take the requirements of the city
4	and the general purpose of what this project will do
5	for the City of Mechanicville. We have done that with
6	the understanding that the Planning Board is a
7	free-standing board. It makes it own recommendations
8	and makes its own decisions. After looking at the
9	master plan I thought that we probably had definitely
10	three questionably out of seven goals of the master
11	plan listed.
12	I'm sorry that I can't read them to you
13	specifically. It is not a final recommendation at this
14	point because we're still waiting for the developer to
15	send through some of the other studies. So, when the
16	final one is submitted to the Mayor, I'm sure that
17	he'll be happy to reissue it at the council meeting. I
18	apologize for not having it available.
19	We do recommend it. There will also be public
20	input as well.
21	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Any comments from the council
22	on these changes going into effect here?
23	MR. SEBER: Somewhere it was written that we were
24	not only going to change the parcel which the Esplanade
25	will eventually take place from heavy industrial to

1	mixed-use but, I think originally there was some
2	misconception or mistake that we were going to change
3	every industrial parcel in the city to mixed-use.
4	That's not the case.
5	What we're doing is we're dealing with one
6	parcel. The zoning on the one parcel. The idea is to
7	take heavy industrial to mixed-use so that no other
8	parcel in the city will be effected. As projects come
9	forward for any parcel, we'll then deal with the zoning
10	as it pertains to that parcel going forward.
11	MAYOR SLYVESTER: What it's not going to include
12	is the side of this one property. This is still heavy
13	industrial. It is where the ball fields are. There is
14	going to be another building up there with a small
15	warehouse stuck behind it so they'll be able to go in
16	and out of there without affecting the business already
17	going down there.
18	One of the changes that I'm in favor of is that
19	it will change the size of the parking spots throughout
20	the city.
21	The building inspector has gone around and
22	measured Clifton Park and Halfmoon and Price Chopper
23	over here and the measurements were 9 by 18. Before

Lincolns. Things are smaller now.

they were 9 by 20 when they had those big imperial

24

25

1 Are there any comments from the developers? 2 MR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Mayor, for taking this 3 time tonight to listen to the citizens of Mechanicville. 5 We are also going to be listening to the citizens of Stillwater tonight at another meeting yet tonight to 7 make this project work and we appreciate this opportunity for public hearing. 9 We appreciate the Planning Board's recommendation 10 that basically this is an appropriate new zone for the 11 City of Mechanicville, that it is in keeping with your 12 master plan and your vision of what you want for your community in terms of waterfront development. Our 13 project hopefully will realize some of those dreams 14 15 that we've had for this community. 16 We understand that this rezoning is a new vision 17 for the community and we hope that we can work with 18 your community, your advisors, your legal staff and the 19 Planning Board to make this a very successful project. 20 We have tonight a prestigious group of people who 21 have been assembled to present this project and answer 22 questions. We hope that we stay here until the 23 questions cease. Any question that is asked is never a 24 dumb question and please be forthright with all of your

25

comments.

1	I have Mr. McNeary, the owner of the project. I
2	have Mary Beth Slevin for the SEQRA process and the
3	legal process. I have John Hoggin, who is covering both
4	tonight in Mechanicville and also in Stillwater. Rob
5	Osterhoudt from Creighton Manning Engineering and Pat
6	Mitchell and Ken Worsted who also is here to answer
7	traffic issue. He's a traffic engineer.
8	We have Carmen Lorentz who crafted the economic
9	impact analysis so that we can talk about what is the
10	effect on the schools? What is the impact on schools
11	and fire? Will this project be condusive to make
12	Mechanicville a shining star?
13	We have Steven Cotler who is the architect of the
14	project and we're here to listen. We're here to answer
15	your questions and with that, I'll sit down and get
16	started with the public hearing.
17	MAYOR SLYVESTER: This first public comment will
18	be addressed to the Planning Board and then for the
19	second one we'll go into the public hearing for the
20	Restore New York grant. That will pertain directly to
21	the developers because they know the answers to those
22	questions.
23	Does anyone have any questions?
24	FROM THE FLOOR: What kind of a timeline are we
25	talking about in relation to the completion of the

1	project?
2	MR. GREEN: We're going to listen to the
3	questions and then answer all of them right at the end
4	That's our plan. We have that one and we'll make sure
5	that we answer that question.
6	MAYOR SYLVESTER: There's another comment period
7	coming for other question on the project, too.
8	MR. HILDRETH: I'm Ed Hildreth. I'd like to know
9	if the Planning Board knows of traffic counts and
10	traffic studies for this project and have they shown a
11	full exit and entrance definitely planned for this
12	project?
13	And the other part is: How far back did they go
14	for the traffic study for the city?
15	Is it condusive with the Town of Stillwater? In
16	other words, traffic coming the other way?
17	Will the curb cuts be changed at all with the
18	existing properties, which would be Price Chopper,
19	myself and DeCrescente's. Are they aware of the truck
20	traffic in the early morning hours? What time were the
21	traffic study done? Was it done at 5:15 in the morning
22	when there was a lot of traffic coming through the
23	city?
24	The other question is: The overall infrastructure
25	that's in place now; sewer water - how are they going

1	to run sewer and water? How is it going to impact the
2	sewer and water that's there?
3	MR. MARTIN: Ray Martin from Tallmadge Place. In
4	keeping with Mr. Hildreth's question about traffic
5	patterns, has any thought been given to the large
6	amount of pedestrian traffic that comes from this site?
7	Potentially there will be about 400 pedestrians living
8	there. Is there any plan to get good pedestrian access
9	across that highway either with a cross-over, walkway
10	or a tunnel going underneath the walkway just to allow
11	better pedestrian access all the way around town?
12	MR. SEBER: This is zoning that we're talking
13	about, right?
14	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Right.
15	MR. SEBER: I'm trying to understand. We're
16	talking about changing this from heavy industrial to
17	mixed-use. I understand that and I think that it's a
18	good idea. Why is the industrial park included in this?
19	Why can't that stand on it's own? We're not changing
20	that to mixed-use.
21	Personally, as one member, I would like to deal
22	with one parcel at a time, as this moves forward. I was
23	a little surprised when the industrial park was in
24	there.
25	MAYOR SLYVESTER: It can be taken out.

1	MR. SEBER: I just didn't understand.
2	MAYOR SLYVESTER: We could do it at another
3	public hearing.
4	MR. SEBER: I understand that but if we're
5	changing it all from heavy industrial to mixed-use;
6	maybe. That's a totally different application and a
7	totally different situation. Personally, I would like
8	to see that taken out of there and deal with the
9	mixed-use on this one parcel and then go from there. We
10	can do what we have to do with the other parcels as
11	they become part of it.
12	MAYOR SLYVESTER: We'll amend that there and take
13	that as a separate move. We'll just do this particular
14	parcel here and get this going. This summer we'll
15	change over the industrial part of it.
16	Motion to adjourn this meeting?
17	MS. REILLY: So moved.
18	MR. IZZO: Second.
19	(Roll was called.)
20	(Whereas the Restore New York
21	Application was addressed)
22	MAYOR SYLVESTER: I make a motion to go into a
23	public hearing on the application to the open Planning
24	Board to review preliminary site plan.
25	MR. SERBALIK: Before that's done there was a

resolution whereas the city is going to be assuming the lead agency for the SEQRA process. I've prepared that and it's before the council at this point. Before the council adjourns, it should act on the resolution to assume lead agency status.

MAYOR SYLVESTER: I was going to do that at the end before we finish the public hearings and go back into our regular meeting instead of back in the meeting and back out again.

MR. SERBALIK: Okay, council is going back in.

MR. SEBER: Resolution 5309 whereas it's pending before the City Council and Planning Board applications of zoning of certain property located at North Main Street heavy industrial to a mixed-use area and whereas there is also pending an application for site plan approval of a mixed-use development of such an area. Whereas the city has determined that such action is subject to the State Environmental Quality Review Act, SEQRA, and whereas the city has determined that is a type one action under SEQRA, and whereas the city has previously filed for lead agency status, and whereas all involved interested agencies have been notified of the intent, and whereas there has been no objection regarding the city's attempt to act as lead agency and be it resolved that the city hereby assumes lead agency

1	status for such project.
2	So moved.
3	MR. IZZO: I'll second.
4	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Roll call.
5	(The roll was called.)
6	Now I'm going to close the regular meeting again
7	and go into the public hearing for the preliminary
8	proposed site plan.
9	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: The Planning Board's meeting
10	tonight will open up at 7:45 and we'll do roll call
11	introductions starting with Mike.
12	MR. NAMM: Mike Namm.
13	MR. DEPEAUX: Joel Depeaux.
14	MS. PELUSO: Sue Peluso.
15	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Mary Grace Izzo, Chair.
16	MR. GEURTZE: Gary Geurtze.
17	MR. DELANEY: Dick Delaney
18	MR. CASE: Lawrence Case.
19	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Thank you, very much.
20	I was going to ask the developer to make their
21	presentation for us and we'll move on with questions
22	after that. In the end, we'll go to public hearing for
23	comment.
24	MR. OSTERHOUDT: My name is Rob Osterhoudt with
25	Creighton Manning Engineering and I'm here tonight to

1 present the site plan for the Esplanade project. 2 Mr. Green had to run to another meeting. He was 3 going to do a little bit of an intro so I apologize if I'm not covering everything that he may have covered. 5 I'll do my best here and we'll be able to answer any questions that you may have, as well. 7 I think that everybody is familiar with the project site based on the rest of the discussions that 9 you've already had this evening. The project site is obviously at the location of 10 11 the existing Leonard Bus site. Right here is the Price 12 Chopper plaza and the DeCrescente site over here (Indicating). 13 14 We have a mixed-use project proposed for the site 15 with seven principal buildings on the site shown here 16 in yellow. 17 The principal building consists of a variety of 18 commercial and residential uses. The commercial components consist of small shop areas - retail shops 19 20 and some office space. It's approximately 38,000 square 21 feet of space in total for the commercial portion of 22 the project. The residential component of the project 23 consists of approximately 175 units that range from 24 one, two and three bedroom apartment units. 25 The commercial components of the project are

concentrated in four buildings; the main town center west building here (Indicating), an ancillary building to the south and two others in the center of the project. The three other buildings, one to the south and two to the north are all strictly residential.

I'll make my presentation off of another map that shows a more detailed view of the project site.

Again, here is north main (Indicating) and we're sitting right off the map here at the Senior Center.

The project site consists of a little over 11 acres of land. Within the Town of Stillwater there is a small portion to the north right here of a little over one acre of land (Indicating). The majority of the site is within the City of Mechanicville and is a little over 10 acres. The municipal boundary line being right here (Indicating).

The zoning for the project is being proposed as a new zoning district, as we heard earlier. This is due to the fact that there is no provision in the existing code for a mixed-use project in the city, without going through variances or going through rezoning the property. There is no planned development district by provision either, which is fairly common. So, that's the reason for the rezone of the property to create a mixed-use zoning district where this type of downtown

feel can be implemented on the proposed project.

The mixed-use character of the project is such that it's going to be geared toward pedestrian traffic. It's going to be geared toward a mixed-use where residents of the facility can go downstairs to one of the commercial areas and maybe grab a cup of coffee and hang out and get something maybe at a little bakery shop or bagel shop. They might be able to go down to a business center to fax or e-mail work that they may be doing from home. Maybe a day care center would be there. The exact uses would be determined as the project progresses.

Right now we have proposed here a significant cultural improvement for the site, if you will. We've got pedestrian access existing along Route 4 here (Indicating) with provisions all throughout the site and the sidewalks. Along the river, we have a river walk area. There is a courtyard area here (Indicating) and a provision for future access to the south where a potential trail connector might go down into the rest of the city.

We have two access points into the site which Ken Worsted of our office will discuss in a little further detail here when I pass the mic off to him.

We have one access point proposed here

(Indicating) across from these two buildings that are shown right here (Indicating) on the lower portion of the map. This access point would be one lane in and two lanes out. That would be a right turn lane out and a left turn lane out. The access on the northerly portion of the site is a two-lane access point; one in and one out. That's within the Town of Stillwater. Again, here is the municipal boundary (Indicating).

Circulation through the site is such that vehicles can progress through this main section which is on the upper level of the site, if you will. There is a parking deck in this area (Indicating) right here.

I'm sorry, I know that I'm blocking the Planning Board and the City Council.

There is a parking area right here (Indicating). That parking deck allows for vehicles to enter and circulate through the site under the parking deck and traverse through the rear of the site and back out. So there is full circulation on both the upper level and the lower level in the back. Part of the community site is such that it drops off and accommodates this change between the upper and the lower levels. We have retaining walls proposed along the central portion of the site in addition to here and over on the southerly boundary of the site (Indicating).

We have sewer and water available at the site.

There is existing public water out here along Route 4

that we will be connecting into and looping the system

throughout the site. We have water proposed to access

the existing line in two locations; along Route 4 and

circulate throughout the site and the back of the site

to provide service to the entire complex.

Sanitary sewer is currently serviced by the existing pump station that lies in this area of the site. This is a sanitary sewer that runs down through the site along the side of the existing warehouse that feeds into this pump station and then discharges to the south across the ball fields to the rest of the city's system, and ultimately to the Saratoga County sewer district's treatment plant down by the back berm.

The plans right now call for a brand new pump station to be constructed at the developer's expense. So, the pump station will be relocated from this area of the site (Indicating) over to this area. A new pump station will be constructed and connected into the existing main line that traverses the ball field site. Service will be maintained for the duration of the construction over to that pump station and the transition might be a slight down-time when the transition is made. Provisions will be accommodated for

1 maintaining the existing service.

2 Storm sewer or drainage, if you will, is going to 3 be handled in three primary areas. Right now the site generally bleeds from the road down towards the river. On the north end of the site there is a little bit of a grade change that drops off. This portion of the site 7 is going to be receiving the stormwater from that site. It will be received by a filter strip that will be implemented along the northern boundary down through 10 here (Indicating). The majority of the site is going to 11 be accommodated by a bio-retention stormwater 12 management facility up here in this greenspace (Indicating). The remainder of the site, the southerly 13 14 portion of the site, will be accommodated within a 15 series of drywells on this southeasterly portion of the 16 site. Stormwater will be collected and treated for 17 stormwater quality so that there are no impacts on the 18 Hudson River. Because of the location of the project adjacent to the Hudson River, the concern with 19 20 stormwater is not so much water quantity because of the 21 discharge to the Hudson River. However, water quality 22 does have to be maintained. It's very important since 23 we're discharging to the Hudson River. 24 We have prepared a preliminary stormwater

Nancy Strang-VanDeBogart

pollution prevention plan for the project and it has

25

been submitted to the city at this point for review. In that document the procedures of New York DEC have been incorporated as well as the methodologies that are required to treat run-off on the site. We are under a redevelopment scenario here so there are some different regulations that would apply here versus a typical new development project on a brand new raw site.

We have undertaken a series of studies at this point to address SEQRA concerns. Those studies include cultural resources. They include threatened and endangered species. They include traffic and geotechnical studies; all of which have been submitted for review. We have additional copies being submitted to the city as well.

With that, I would like to pass the mic to Ken Worsted of our office to discuss traffic. I know that traffic is going to an important issue and it concerns a lot of people. Once Ken is done, I'll take the mic back and we'll go over more points.

MR. WORSTED: My name is Ken Worsted. I'm with Creighton Manning Engineering. We're the traffic consultants and have done the traffic studies for this project.

We started off by looking at where the project was sited, in terms of where it is in the city and the

1 portion that's in the Town of Stillwater.

The two things that we primarily looked at first is what kind of traffic volumes are out there today and what's going to happen in the future when the project is completed.

The site is obviously located on the north end of the Town of Stillwater. The town line is just through the north end of the project site. With the traffic being the highest concentrated right at the site, we looked at about three intersections right in the immediate area.

Those intersections included Route 4 and the intersection of North Main Street and Route 4/67 and the intersection of North Central Avenue. You're familiar with those as they're just down the street here; one with the Price Chopper intersection. The other one is the T intersection before you go over the bridge; the railroad tracks. The other intersection that we looked at was just to the north and that's the intersection of Route 4 and Best Avenue. It's right at the north end of the DeCrescente parcel and heads back into the Town of Stillwater. I believe that the town offices are back in there with some residential uses.

What we were looking at was the traffic volumes

out here to begin with. If you were to stand there for 24 hours a day and watch traffic, you'll find that at midnight and overnight, the traffic volume is really low and as you approach the morning peak hour more people are traveling back and forth and heading to work in the morning. You'll find that it peaks or spikes and then drops off in them middle of the day. Most of the residents at that time are working and you do have people that are traveling back and forth, but it's not quite as much as that morning peak.

As you go through the afternoon, traffic will continue to back up until you hit the afternoon peak hour which is when obviously the residents are traveling back home for dinner and so forth. So those peak times are the two spikes that are throughout the day what we look at when we're looking at traffic. They generally occurred from 7:00 to 8:00 in the morning and from about 4:30 to 5:30 in the afternoon. Then obviously as you go through the evening hours, the traffic tapers off and gets low and obviously overnight it drops off quite a bit.

So we looked at those peak times and we counted the intersections here at Route 67 and Central Avenue and also Main Street and Best Avenue. We counted all the cars that went through those intersections and

turns. All of the turns, whether they were trucks, passenger cars, going left, going right, through traffic and so forth and we counted the signal timings at the two intersections that have signals.

The Best Avenue intersection is just a stop sign, so that was pretty simple.

Then what we did was take those traffic volumes and said, what's going to happen in the future before this project is open? It's going to take a number of years to build so what is that future traffic going to be like in five or six years? We estimated that. We included things like AMD, when that comes in. That's going to generate traffic before this is over. How does that traffic affect this area?

So we estimated those future traffic volumes before the project was open and then we estimated how much traffic was generated by the project. We looked at the number of units that were proposed. We have basically a source of information that's compiled that says that you have apartments, here is how much traffic that generates. You have office and that's how much traffic that generates. You have retail and that's how much traffic that generates. So, we took a look at the size and distribution and guesstimates that the project itself, when it's fully built out will generate.

In the morning it's going to generate about 125
trips in the morning.

When I say a trip, that means a car that's either entering or leaving. That's the total.

When we look in the afternoon, you have the residents generating traffic and you have the office and retail. In the morning the retail doesn't generate as much because often times retail businesses aren't open before 9:00. But in the afternoon everything is generated with traffic all together. So, in the afternoon you have 195 trips entering and exiting; that's the total for the site. All that traffic is concentrated at its highest, right here at the site (Indicating). We look at that and say, some of that traffic is going to head up and some of it is going to head down to Mechanicville and some of it is going to head out Route 67 towards Malta.

So, we took a look at the distribution and we took that traffic volume and we turned that into actual numbers and said, 30 or 40 will go in this direction and 40 or 50 cars are going to come down into

Mechanicville, and 30 or 40 cars are going to head down Route 67 towards Malta.

We then took a look at the number of lanes that were provided at each one of these intersections and

the signal timings. We looked at all of that along with traffic volumes and we come up with basically a capacity analysis. It's much like a child's report card in that it goes from A as being great, all the way down to an F, which is failing. That's based on the delay that drivers would feel as they come through the intersection.

We then take that information and we look at what's going to happen beforehand and what's going to happen after. That gives us a good comparison to be able to say what the impact of the project is because you can say, here it is beforehand without the project and here is the level of service. Then we can say, here is the level of service after the project is open.

To talk about some of the specifics, I'll start at the intersection to the north, Route 4. Today, the intersection as you're pulling out of Best Avenue operates at a level of service B; that's pretty good. It's not great. It could be better and be an A, but it's not an F.

We looked at how much traffic the project site was going to generate sending it up into Stillwater and the change that occurs from Best Avenue. After the project is built out, that approach would still operate at a level of service B. So, there really isn't any

change of that intersection.

Now we're looking at the intersection near Price
Chopper and North Main Street and Route 67 and Route 4.

That intersection is signalized right now. The
approaches of Route 67 and Route 4 basically operate at
a level of service A/B today. That's in the morning and
afternoon. The approach coming out of Price Chopper and
coming out of Main Street is a level of service C. So,
that's kind of in the middle. As you build the project
out and you add the traffic through this intersection,
it's going to remain at the same levels of service.
That's not going to change. You're going to have some
changes in the delay that will occur. It's something
that you're not really going to notice when the traffic
is coming through there.

The other intersection of North Central Avenue and Route 67 - today that intersection basically operates at a level of service B/C on the main east and west approaches to that. On the northbound approach, Central Avenue - that basically operates at a level of service C in the morning. Most of the people are already headed south at that time and it operates at a level of service B.

We did notice when we were out there with these intersection levels of service and timings is that the

intersections are operating on a fixed line in a sense that there are pedestrian accommodations in the area. To be able to get pedestrians across the street - there aren't any push buttons. So, you have to adjust the signal timing to allow for pedestrians to cross on the green ball that they see on the traffic light.

There is a study that's going on right now that CDTC, the Capital District Transportation Committee, are involved with along with a consulting firm, and they've prepared a draft report for the city to view and get comments back to them. They're looking at pedestrian accommodations and the corridor through this area.

Some of the recommendations that will come out of this study we think will involve increasing or approving the accommodations in this area because right now you don't have any. You don't have any specific pedestrian signal indication. So, when a pedestrian is coming up to cross over — let's say from North Main Street over to Price Chopper, they basically just have to wait for the light to turn green. There is nothing special there telling them that now is the time to cross.

That's basically an overview of the traffic study that we did. We have had some discussion with the city

1	and concerns raised by the council as well as the
2	Police Chief in the sense that they want to look at
3	some additional intersections as well as the Town of
4	Stillwater. They wanted to look at some of their
5	intersections. So, right now we're in the process of
6	identifying some of those intersections and
7	incorporating them into an additional study that would
8	come along behind this one to address some of those
9	concerns.
10	Those intersections in the City of Mechanicville
11	include the intersection of 67 and Viall Road and
12	further to the west of the intersection of Round Lake
13	Road next to DiSiena Furniture, and as you come down
14	Route 4 through the city, the intersection here
15	(Indicating). Then for those in Stillwater, the
16	intersection of Route 4 and across the river here
17	(Indicating). That's Washington County on that side.
18	So those were the basic intersections that we
19	were looking at and summarized what we found.
20	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Can you summarize the traffic?
21	MR. WORSTED: Sure. Basically just to sum up the
22	last 10 or 15 minutes; we have a project here that's
23	going to include a mix of apartments, office and retail
24	uses.
25	Overall, the project is going to generate 125

1 trips in the morning and about 195 trips in the afternoon. That traffic would be distributed up into 2 Stillwater down into Mechanicville and west towards Malta. We have looked at the intersections in that area and the level of service of those intersections. Basically the summary of the intersections is 7 that the existing levels of services that are there now continue into the future of this project, partially 9 because of the dissipation of the traffic; some of it is going north and some of it is going south and also 10 11 going west. 12 So overall, as you travel through these intersections in the city, you're not going to see a 13 14 lot of change in what's happening out there today with 15 what is happening out there in the future with the 16 project. 17 MR. SEBER: Madam Chairman? Mary Grace? It's 18 your meeting, may I ask a question? CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Sure. 19 MR. SEBER: I understand that we're taking the 20 21 data and classifying A, B, C, D or whatever it might 22 be. Will there at some point be some recommendations of 23 how to better handle the traffic? Clearly it's going to 24 be an increase in traffic. Is there going to be some 25 recommendation somewhere along the line where it's

going to be here are the numbers, go to somebody else and - - I'm just trying to understand the process.

MR. OSTERHOUDT: I can answer that question for you. We had prepared a traffic study, as Ken mentioned, for those intersections that he just identified. That study has been submitted to Barton and Loguidice, the city's engineer for review. We have copies with us tonight to submit to the City Council and Planning Board, as well, so that they would be available for your review.

In that report, it goes through everything and the conclusions. There are no improvements recommended at this time, as far as off-site improvements. The numbers don't warrant it at this time. Obviously the city's consultant, Barton and Loguidice, will be weighing in on that as well.

You have some additional intersections that we are going to need analyzing as per the city's request and the town's request. Those will be included in a supplemental report that we're hoping to have issued later this week.

MR. SEBER: So basically what you're telling me is that you're going to give the date to Barton and Loguidice and then they may or may not recommend some way for us to handle the addition. They may say, hey,

1 it's fine.

I can tell you that going north on Route 4 at 4:30 or 5:00 in the afternoon, adding 40 or 50 cars, to me, - - I don't pretend to be an expert in traffic other than having to sit in it for awhile. South and west or it could be north above the overpass and west and east may not be as effected, but I'd be curious to see what the recommendations are going to be.

Thank you.

MR. OSTERHOUDT: You're welcome.

I have a few other items that I'd like to touch on here quickly and then I'll pass the mic off to another team member here to finish off our presentation.

I mentioned the break down of the site; in Stillwater and Mechanicville. I wanted to talk a little bit about the greenspace and building requirements and the building space of the apartments here (Indicating).

Overall, what we have on this plan if you look at this site today and look at the impervious area on this site, there is a large warehouse facility. You've got a lot of parking. You've got some other building facilities in the northern portion of the site. There is a lot of impervious area on the site. As this plan depicts right here, there is actually an increase in

the greenspace on this site over what is out on the site pad. It's not a large increase, but there is an increase and when you're looking at a redevelopment project of this magnitude, I think that's pretty impressive to walk away with an improvement in your greenspace for a site of this size. So, that's a very good benefit to both the city and the town, relative to the project. Obviously, it's going to be a bonus for the project as well because of the residential component of it. The greenspace is going to create a very nice environment for the residents of the facility.

I'd just like to focus a little bit more on the pedestrian facilities. As I mentioned, we have existing sidewalk facilities along Route 4 here (Indicating) and it kind of feeds out as you head north along the project site.

We have engineers here tonight who can certainly answer any questions regarding any layout of the project. They've worked long and tireless hours on creating this layout to build around a pedestrian theme.

The town center west building, if you will, is the focal point of the project along Route 4. The intent was to build a streetscape along Route 4 that

creates some of the downtown here (Indicating). These buildings are relatively close to the road by design. They are set there on purpose. In that capacity, they tend to slow traffic down because now you have more of that downtown feel. It's as if you're driving down the middle of Mechanicville and there are cars that are parked on the road and the buildings are closer and it slows the traffic down.

In addition, we have courtyard areas here

(Indicating) where there are not only sidewalks to be

accessing the whole frontage of North Main Street, but

courtyard areas where users of the commercial

components of the project will have benches out here

along the frontage. They will have areas to converse

and get off the road and spend some time where they can

chat with neighbors. They'll have room to move

throughout the site.

With town center west going here (Indicating)
being the focal point, there is a covered walkway that
connects town center west to the lower section where
the town center north and south are. There is a
staircase that would function to move people from the
upper to the lower levels that I described down to a
courtyard area here (Indicating) where there will be
shops on that first level, residential above that and

then the river walk area, which is here along the river (Indicating). That will be connected via a pathway right down through the artery of the project here.

Down in this river walk area, we're anticipating some type of attractions such as a small amphitheater. There's also a walkway that continues to the north here (Indicating). On these grounds we have accommodated stormwater management facilities, but we've also provided for potential other gatherings, if you will.

The neighborhood and the community that will be born by this project is going to have a tremendous asset in the river frontage here. We have some photos of what the views are from the site looking out to the river, out to the Rensselaer County countryside and looking north to the overpass and looking over at the lock. There will be area out here for recreation (Indicating). There will be area out here for gatherings and with that the greenspace that I mentioned is going to prove to be a valuable asset to not only the residents of the facility but for the general public. All of these walkways and all of these facilities are going to be open to the public.

I did not touch on parking so much. What we have proposed is parking that is conformance with the current city zoning requirements and the proposed

zoning district maintains those levels of parking. It does provide for a shared parking arrangement because that zoning is not only for this project which meets the parking requirements, but it's going to potentially be applied to other projects that would seek to have a mixed-unit component as well. So, there are provisions in there for shared access. We have room on our site to accommodate our parking. Someone was looking at a site just down the road here and they didn't have room for that parking but you could get it maybe from a church or another facility, there is a provision for shared parking.

With that, I'm just going to flip through real quickly here to show you some of the views from the project site, which probably everyone is familiar with and then I'll pass it off to Camonin Associates to talk about the fiscal analysis.

This is from the project site looking north.

Obviously the railroad and the overpass would be over here (Indicating).

This is from the project site looking southeasterly. You can see the ball fields here (Indicating). This is the southerly edge of our site and obviously the Hudson and Rensselaer County across the river.

1 This is looking pretty much due east from the 2 site across the river and the countryside to the east. 3 With that, I'm going to wrap up my portion of the presentation. I will be here for questions. I'm going 5 to pass the presentation off to Carmel Lorentz from Camoin Associates who did the fiscal analysis for the 7 project and I'll let her speak. MR. SEBER: Rob, before you leave I have one 9 other quick question. 10 The zoning change calls for a zero setback on the 11 front portion of the building? 12 MR. OSTERHOUDT: Correct. 13 MR. SEBER: That doesn't mean that there is going 14 to be buildings up to Route 4, correct? 15 MR. OSTERHOUDT: We have that proposed mixed-use 16 zoning that has a zero foot front yard setback proposed 17 as well as a zero foot side yard setback. Rear yard 18 setbacks are proposed to 15 feet. The provision for 19 those zero foot setbacks is such that, again, we're in 20 an area where buildings are right in the back of the 21 sidewalk and this would accommodate that type of use. 22 We've proposed a setback that's slightly larger. We 23 haven't gone with a zero foot setback here, but along 24 the principal frontage here for town center west we 25 have a seven foot setback at the worst case scenario

T	and then it expands after that. In one area, we get
2	down to a five foot setback or so on the south commons
3	west building. That area is in here (Indicating), where
4	the road starts diverging away from the project with
5	the proposed buildings. There is additional right of
6	way in that space.
7	Are there any other questions from the council? I
8	can take those now or later.
9	MR. FLETCHER: I do want to make one comment.
10	I'm Don Fletcher with Barton and Loguidice, the
11	city's consultant.
12	We had commented on the front setback in looking
13	at maybe coming off of the zero because we're getting a
14	little bit out of the urban downtown core of the city.
15	With regard to what Rob was talking about - we're
16	looking at a maximum of 10 feet off for a potential
17	number of reasons. One is future roadway improvements.
18	If anything is done that there might be a need to widen
19	that area, that would need to be so that the buildings
20	are not right at the zero mark. If you're ever going to

do a bike path or sidewalk amenity along the front or

any type of retail uses - if there was going to be

cafes or any type of out front tables or restaurant

type atmosphere, the zero mark might be an issue. So,

one of the things that we recommended for the council

21

22

23

24

25

1 to look at is coming off of the zero front setback for 2 somewhere in the five to ten foot area as part of the 3 zoning change. MR. OSTERHOUDT: I'll now turn it over to Carmen 5 Lorentz from Camoin. MS. LORENTZ: We were brought into the project to 7 look at what's going to happen when this is built to the fiscal resources of the city and also the school district. 10 As everybody knows this is a big project so 11 obviously there is going to be some impact and our job was to try to figure out what that would be and put 12 13 that into numbers for you. 14 Our firm, Camoin Associates, is located just up 15 the road in Malta on Route 9 and we've done a bit of 16 work with the city in the past. We've written some 17 grant applications for the city and did the economic 18 development strategy in 2005 or 2006. We have done 19 quite a few fiscal impact studies in the capital region 20 as well; down near Albany, Bethlehem, East Greenbush, 21 Saratoga, and also in Niskayuna. So, we're pretty 22 familiar with how these things work and in this area in 23 particular. 24 We have looked at all different types of projects

from strictly residential to mixed-use like this one.

Also, some that are just retail plazas and also industrial parks. So, we have a good idea of how these things typically play out.

What we tried to do to start out was get an understanding of how things work in Mechanicville. So, we're looking at the city's budget for the past few years. We're talking to the school district's business management to get a sense of enrollment right now, what their per student costs are and what kind of state aid the school district receives. We also have had interviews with the Police Chief and the Fire Chief and a member of the rescue squad to try to get a handle on how a project of this size might impact those services and also their budgets.

So, all of the project information that we were using in terms of the square footage of the project, residential versus retail and office and what the estimated value of the project would be after completion, we got from the developer and Mr. McNeary. We took all that information and did a series of calculations and tried to understand things. We said, okay, what's going to happen to service costs? What kinds of property tax revenues and other kinds of revenue will the city and the school district see as a result of this project?

One thing that I should say is that whenever we do these studies we typically find that for an all residential development, sometimes the results are kind of mixed; depending on the value of the housing. You may see more costs associated with that kind of project then you would revenues. They don't often generate enough revenues to pay for all the school children that might come out of a residential development.

When you're looking at a mixed-use project, like this one, that balances that mix of uses, it typically provides enough property tax revenues to cover the costs of services and often results in a positive fiscal impact; which is what we found when we did this study.

In looking specifically at the school district, we determined that there would be new costs of approximately \$240,000. That would basically come from about 18 to 20 new children that would live in the project after it's completed. Based on the rental rates that are going to be charged on the target market for this project, it's not going to be generating a large number of school children like you might see with a development of single family units right now.

In looking at the property tax revenues, we came up with a figure based on the current tax rates and the

estimated value of the project of about \$440,000 on property tax revenue. So, you come out with a net positive of about \$200,000 for the school district which is a good thing.

Then in looking at the city's budget and the city's fiscal resources, we looked at the general fund and also the water and sewer funds. The public safety service budgets are included in the general fund and we included them in that part of the analysis. Obviously there are going to be additional costs for police, fire, emergency medical services because this is a rather large project. Because those services are already established and they have the staff and the equipment that they need to respond to calls, we were looking more at their operating costs; increased fuel, repair and maintenance of their vehicles, equipment and things of that nature.

Then we also looked at general services. The types of revenue that would be generated from the kinds of permits the city might issue and licenses and things like that. We also took into account the cost for maintaining roads and increasing traffic and things like that.

With regard to the general fund, we came up with a net positive impact of approximately \$294,000. Again,

1 the project is rather large and it's going to be 2 generating quite a bit of property tax revenue so 3 that's why they came up with such a positive number. With the water and sewer fund, based on the 5 preliminary analysis that has been done, our analysis has taken into account any new infrastructure that 7 would have to be built by the city. The developer will be rebuilding the new pump station or relocating the 9 pump station, as Rob mentioned. So, we're looking at the new revenues, the new fees and water and sewer fees 10 11 that would be generated by the project. With the water 12 fund, we came up with about \$74,000 a year in fees that the project would generate into the system and for 13 14 sewer we came up with \$19,000. 15 So, when you add all of the city funds together, 16 we're looking at a net positive fiscal impact on an 17 annual basis. Again, after the project was fully built 18 out and fully occupied, about \$387,000. Then for the school district, coming back to 19 that, it's \$195,000. 20 21 The grand total of all the impacts that we 22 calculated about \$583,000 on an annual basis, is our 23 estimate. 24 I'll be happy to take any questions or wait until 25 the end.

1 MR. OSTERHOUDT: With that, I'd like to introduce 2 Mary Beth Slevin to get us close to our portion of the 3 presentation where we can take additional questions. Thank you. 5 MS. SLEVIN: Good evening, everyone. Again, Mary Beth Slevin. I'm here on behalf of the applicant and I 7 appreciate your patience with our presentation this evening. I know that it's been long and you have 9 questions and you want to get to them. 10 We've had two public hearings this evening. One, 11 to review the Restore New York Grant, and the second 12 which is the one that we're in the middle of right now for the site plan review. 13 14 We still are going to look at the issue of the 15 zoning request and the change in zoning. I just want to 16 touch on that briefly so that we can try to consolidate 17 our efforts rather than wait until we deal with that in 18 a public hearing as well. 19 We are proposing a change in the zoning for this 20 property. It's currently zoned heavy industrial for the 21 city. It's similarly zoned industrial in the Town of 22 Stillwater. We are concurrently requesting the same 23 change of zoning in the city as well as the town. This 24 project stands in both municipalities. The language

that is proposed is the same.

Our methodology for proposing the zoning, as Rob eluded to earlier, was that we looked at the existing zoning of the city permits. Without a vast number of variances to allow the number of combined uses of retail, office and residential on this property, we would have had to go back to the zoning board really for an inordinate request. So, after consultation with city officials, it just made more sense to propose a new zone.

The new zone, however, was designed to be consistent with the work that the city has already done. As Ken Green had said earlier, the city has looked at the uses that it wants to see along its waterfront. It has developed specific plans, both under the comprehensive plan and under its downtown revitalization plan to look at what kinds of uses were developing and would be appropriate for the city going forward in the future.

This project is a synthesis of some of that work.

It was designed to address the goals and objectives on the comprehensive plan.

The goals and objectives of the downtown revitalization plan were to provide an opportunity of an extension of the downtown to create a new downtown to the extent that this is area that is otherwise an

industrial use. Also, to realize the goals of those plans and in keeping in a very real fashion that would allow a starting point for turning point for further development in the future.

So, we developed the language for the zoning code in our proposal. We looked at the existing language of the city's building code and tried to mirror the format of that zoning code so that this district would be consistent of at least the format of those other districts that already exist in the city. The only difference being that this combines some of the other uses that would be allowed in those districts all into one district. So we have the first opportunity to have a true mixed-use development under the proposed districts.

So, with that, that concludes our presentation and we're obviously available to answer any questions that the City Council or the Planning Board would have.

MR. FLETCHER: I'm Don Fletcher with Barton and Loguidice, the city's consultant.

To date, as everyone has indicated, a lot of work has been done by the developers. We've provided our letter of recommendations and conclusions with regard to the rezone application that there is a public hearing tonight before the City Council.

Going back as far as the mid-nineties with the reviewing documentation, this type of mixed-use zoning has been sought and thought about quite a bit by the City Council. Within the draft, it was in the March 2000 comp plan, it was in the 2006 draft: waterfront revitalization and in the final January 2009 programs. So this really goes concurrent with a lot of that vision and for the city. So a lot of our review to date has been in the language that Mary Beth spoke of.

Speaking about some of the front setbacks, what kinds of uses it would be, what is in the greenspace requirement would be and what would some of the shared parking requirements - - we've had a lot of comments in the letter that I presented tonight to the Planning Board and they have copies to the City Council. So to date, that's really been our focus.

Then moving forward, we just recently received all the preliminary plans and the report of the fiscal impacts, the geotechnical report and the traffic study. They're going to be supplementing the traffic study. So, we're really at a state now where we would be delving in and reviewing all the information on behalf of the city and the residents with regard to all the issues; the water, the sewer, the traffic, the waterfront revitalization, what uses are down there,

1 pedestrian uses, so on and so forth.

Unless anybody has any specific questions for me, that's pretty much at this point where Barton and Loguidice is with the review.

There is just one other thing that I would say.

As we are doing this entire review, we are reviewing

the report with regard obviously to the preliminary and

final approval, ultimately, with the Planning Board but

also as part of the environmental review of this

project. That's what we're doing on behalf of the city.

If anybody has any questions, I'm here to answer them.

CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Are there any questions on the part of the council that they would like to ask - any of the guests that spoke?

MAYOR SYLVESTER: We're talking about that zero clearance on the street. We spoke to you before but I'd like to remind the developers also. Zoomsmith Trail ends at [SIC] Coons Crossing right now. We're in negotiations with the railroad to allow that to come down the south side of the railroad onto Elizabeth Street and end up down at the main street, basically in front of City Hall. With the Champlain Canal coming up to meet that and going on north, I would assume that they would follow the river up through Main Street and past your project, and up through Stillwater and

1	eventually Lake Champlain. Would you take that into
2	consideration with your plans and what you're going to
3	do so that can become a reality? This is something that
4	the state really wants to go through with and all the
5	counties involved.
6	MR. SEBER: Carmen, the numbers you talked about
7	with the school district - the additional revenue
8	generated based on assessment of the project - I think
9	I might have some experience here.
10	You're not going to get a check for \$300,000.
11	It's just redistribution. A tax levy is a tax levy;
12	whether it's a school district or whether it's a City
13	Council. At the end of the budget process, they say, we
14	have to raise an X amount of money in taxes. That's the
15	money that you're raising. This would be basically
16	redistribution. It would affect the rate per thousand
17	and possibly affect the taxpayers.
18	MS. LORENTZ: Yes.
19	MR. SEBER: It's not a check for \$300,000.
20	MS. LORENTZ: No.
21	MR. SEBER: I think that people have to
22	understand that. We have a huge amount of fairways and
23	turning point people and even the board members are
24	saying, where's the money? The money is distributed
25	around. The water and sewer might be a little bit

different because that's actually revenue coming in,
but I just wanted to get that understanding. It's not a
check for \$300,000. It's just sort of a redistribution
and it's a good thing. Don't get me wrong. It's not
that we should be saying, hey, where's the \$300,000 in
five years.

MS. LORENTZ: A good way that I like to explain it to people is that you have to sort of use the rates that we have available and the assessed value that we have available to us. But it's more trying to put a the amount of tax burden that the residents won't have to shoulder going into the future. So, yes, that's accurate.

MR. SEBER: It was pointed out to me the other day at a meeting - I have no idea what the assessment is going to be. I have a sense of what it's going to cost so let's just use that number. Basically it's about 20% of what our assessment is now. So, it's a very large number. We're assessed at approximately \$144,000,000 or \$150,000,000. Hypothetically, if that money was put on, it would redistribute it significantly. I just want people to understand that they're not going to get a check for \$300,000 because it doesn't work that way.

Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: We're going to go into public
2	hearing and ask for comments from the floor on the
3	actual site plan. We'll do the same process that we did
4	before. We'll take the notes about what you want and
5	then try to address them in case there should be
6	replication someplace along the line.
7	So, I'll ask for a motion to close our part of
8	the meeting and request public input on this.
9	MS. PELUSO: I'll move.
10	MR. DEPEAUX: I'll second.
11	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Thank you.
12	So at this time anyone who would like to make a
13	comment please do so now. If you're here representing
14	an organization, please state that for us for the
15	record.
16	MR. DEMARCO: I'm Dave DeMarco, resident.
17	The only thing that I would like you to look at
18	is that they have a walkway in the back. That's what it
19	looks like. It looks like a covered walkway. They're
20	actually dividing the project in half. If fire and
21	rescue and stuff like that - it looks like it could be
22	difficult to access and it's something that you might
23	want to look at.
24	Also, is there going to be any hook-ups for any
25	water for a water source inside there when you're at

1	the back building? It's a very large building and it's
2	going to take a large amount of water. Are they going
3	to get a dry hydrant from the river maybe for a fire
4	hydrant from the river?
5	Is there going to be a traffic light at the exit?
6	When you're going in and out, are they going to put a
7	traffic light there or is it going to be the best that
8	you can do just to get in and out?
9	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Thank you.
10	FROM THE FLOOR: At one of the previous meetings,
11	I expressed a concern regarding the zero setback on the
12	zoning. I believe it was on the side and in the front.
13	I'm confused as to whether or not a decision has been
14	made to change that and if so, does it affect all
15	mixed-use properties in the community in addition to
16	this one?
17	MR. COLEMAN: Mike Coleman, 329 South Main
18	Street.
19	I have several questions. I thought that Don was
20	going to hit on them a little more today since we had
21	discussed it at previous meetings.
22	There's never been public notification of any
23	determination of whether or not the city has the
24	infrastructure such as water available to the project
25	at the start or at completion.

1 Another question comes in about the sewer. The 2 pump station on the southern end of this property is 3 going to be replaced. We have an aged pumping station that is currently on Ferry Street. Is that pump station 5 going to be able to handle the pressure and influx of whatever this project is going to send into it? That 7 needs to be looked at and addressed. It's 110 years old. That whole system that runs all the way down and 9 to just have it realigned begs the question of: Can it 10 handle it without being improved? 11 Up at the Town of Stillwater, their Planning Board actually requested that the market analysis be 12 made available. Who is going to be able to afford the 13 14 \$1,000 to \$1,300 a month rents on these apartments? I 15 don't know if that question has ever been asked here. I 16 believe that it should be answered. 17 Again, the water usage is a big one. I haven't 18 heard an answer to that. 19 I notice that everybody has a set of plans 20 available and the Building Inspector has one in his 21 office. I think that it would be beneficial to all the 22 public to have a set of plans available. They should be 23 there for the public to readily take a look at. 24 Thank you. 25 MAYOR SYLVESTER: Anyone else with any other

1 comments on this? 2 MR. HILDRETH: My name is Ed Hildreth. 3 I have a big concern regarding the traffic study. I've had 30 years experience. I think that the study 5 has to go a little bit earlier in the morning. I think it has to be a little more realistic. The intersections 7 are in disarray for more than an hour and a half each day. At 5:15 in the morning, the traffic starts 10 backing up past Best Avenue. DeCrescente has over 300 11 employees coming into work. Maybe the wife is dropping 12 him off or maybe a child is coming in later to see their father, or go to a sporting event or something. 13 14 Traffic needs to be studied. I'm not going to live 15 through another nightmare with a poor decision on an 16 intersection. I'm holding everyone here responsible for 17 this. Investigate it and make sure that it's not just 18 paper. Right now, I believe that it's just paper. 19 MAYOR SYLVESTER: Anybody else with anything? 20 MS. HILDRETH: Carol Hildreth, just a public 21 citizen. 22 I think that my question is: Why should we, the 23 public in Mechanicville, get excited over this project? Other than that, it's beautiful but will the public 24

25

have access to this beautiful riverfront? What will be

1	the benefit for us as citizens of Mechanicville?
2	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Anybody else?
3	MR. SCIROCCO: I have a comment but it's not on
4	the proposed zoning ordinance. It's on the project
5	itself. Is this the appropriate time?
6	MAYOR SYLVESTER: It's on the whole project.
7	We've done the zoning part already. Bring it on,
8	anyway, please. Don't anyone go home without your
9	question answered.
10	MR. SCIROCCO: I'll be brief.
11	I'm Frank Scirocco, Chairman of the Zoning Board
12	of Appeals in Mechanicville. I'd like to share some
13	comments and thoughts that I have on the project
14	tonight.
15	Mixed-use has always been seen as a key to smart
16	development. It reduces all of the dependences,
17	preserves greenspace and natural resources and promotes
18	economic development that the city really needs. It
19	also offers residents more of a sense of community and
20	an opportunity to socialize with their neighbors. The
21	benefits of this type of development abound. It will
22	promote the village style mix of retail, restaurants,
23	office, civic uses and multi-family housing. It
24	promotes a sense of community, embodies smart growth
25	and it will increase revenues. So, I would ask both

1	boards tonight to look upon these measures favorably.
2	I told you I'd be brief. Thank you.
3	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Anyone else with anything?
4	Please remember, if you get home and think of
5	something, get it back to us.
6	MR. SEBER: They have to answer some questions
7	from the earlier comment period, but I think that it's
8	still your meeting.
9	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Yes, it's still yours.
10	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: If there are no more comments,
11	then can I have a motion to close the meeting?
12	MR. DEPEAUX: I make a motion to close.
13	MS. PELUSO: Second.
14	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: We need to talk a little bit
15	about the recommendations from the Planning Board.
16	At this board - and I'm speaking for myself but
17	I'll ask the board afterwards. I don't think that we
18	can make a full recommendation about the development
19	plans at this point. We're still waiting on traffic
20	reports, financial reports, Saratoga County Planning
21	Board needs to bring us in with their ideas and what
22	they would like to see here; what they approve of and
23	what they don't approve of. Therefore, I'm going to ask
24	the board if they have any questions to ask the people
25	that have spoken. If you would you like to question

1 them on anything, feel free to. Other than that, 2 instead of closing our meeting, we're going to adjourn 3 it to another time when the rest of the recommendations are available. 5 MS. SLEVIN: If I could just address that? The Saratoga Planning Department has taken a vote 7 on the project and I don't know if the city is going to be in possession of their recommendation. I attended 9 their meeting when they reviewed it and they provided a 10 positive recommendation on the zoning change reviewed 11 by Mechanicville and also by Stillwater. We attended that meeting on March 19th. So, that's been completed 12 13 already. 14 With respect to the City Planning Board recommendation, the only thing that the board is asked 15 16 to do tonight is make a recommendation on the proposed 17 zoning change. You are not asked to make a 18 recommendation on the site plan. Obviously that's going 19 to be something that's subject to further review. The 20 recommendation that's sought from the board is simply 21 the zoning change and the zoning language that is 22 proposed to be adopted by the City Council. 23 CHAIRPERSON IZZO: I guess all I'm speaking to is 24 the site plan. I don't think that we can give a

recommendation to that.

1 MS. SLEVIN: That's what I thought.

2 CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Because we have a lot of 3 options to still look at that are not available to us.

Is there any time frame for the traffic study?

MS. SLEVIN: The traffic study has been submitted. The report that both Rob and Ken spoke about earlier this evening — that is in the city's possession at this point. I believe that we have copies for the Planning Board members and the City Council members this evening. There is some additional review that's being done at the request of both the city and the Town of Stillwater, but we don't believe that's going to adversely impact the site. Nonetheless, we're going to continue with that review to satisfy the requests that we received.

CHAIRPERSON IZZO: The thing that I did want to mention, as long as we have such a large audience here is the Planning Board, believe it or not, is a free-standing organization that represents the public also. When we look at a plan, we try to look at it for the benefits to the citizen, what you will gain out of it, what you won't gain out of it, the positives are and what the negatives are. So, it's not totally going in and looking at a street versus a street versus a street. We look at it in conjunction with what it does

for the city, what it does for the citizens and what it does for the financial standing of the city, also.

The Planning Board does not take it lightly when they are asked to review a project of this magnitude and the people who spoke tonight know that we're not shy. We're very happy to call you and ask you a thousand questions and so far nobody has threatened us so we must be doing something right. That's what I'd like the public to understand is that we're here to serve the general community as well as the city in what we do.

We'd like you to attend more of our meetings. We see very few people. This is like a bonanza tonight. The meeting is the first Monday of every month, if there is an agenda. The way to find out if there is an agenda is to call the Mayor's office and ask the secretaries. There is a tentative meeting scheduled on the 20th of April. Should the rest of the information be answered, the Planning Board can then again look at the preliminary site review and take it from there. We're still waiting for SEQRA to be completed and a decision made but it's not like we're hedging with anything that we're doing. We're just not at that point yet that we can take that step and make that recommendation.

That being said, I'll get off my soap box and

1	we'll go on and attempt to finish up the meeting.
2	May I ask a question? Would it be easier to take
3	the questions that were asked in public comment and
4	direct it to the people that are the representatives
5	tonight? Would that be the best way to do it?
6	MS. SLEVIN: It's the pleasure of the board.
7	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Are you prepared to answer the
8	questions?
9	MS. SLEVIN: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Mr. Mayor, do you want to
11	start with your questions or do you want me to start
12	with the planning session?
13	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Let's do the planning and then
14	we'll do everybody else's questions.
15	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: I do want to tell you the
16	questions that were submitted so far. Everybody has a
17	set of plans and did a lot of study on their own. I had
18	one meeting with all the area representatives and the
19	first meeting that we had together as a group was last
20	week. It was listed as a workshop because we had the
21	opportunity to sit down as a group and ask questions
22	again to everybody that was there.
23	This is the opportunity for the public to get to
24	speak. The next meeting we'll sit down and look at the
25	final plan, I hope, and make a decision.

1 I'm going to speak to the EMS question. 2 We did submit requests from the Mechanicville 3 Police Department, which Joe Waldron did respond; the Mechanicville Fire Department and also, the John Ahearn 5 Rescue Squad. I have one of them so far and I'm waiting on the other two. As far as the EMS services, when you look at the site plan, we had lots of questions about that, but all 9 the questions had been answered adequately. I'm hoping that they've been answered tonight. 10 You did touch on all the services, correct? 11 MR. OSTERHOUDT: I could touch on them a little 12 more if there are more questions. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Would you like to step up and 15 speak to those? If you could just develop those three 16 areas so that they know that we've taken it seriously 17 for the emergency services. 18 MR. OSTERHOUDT: I'll just go through and address the emergency services, if you will. As I mentioned, we 19 20 have two primary access points into the site; one to 21 the south and one to the north. We had circulation 22 through the upper portion, as I mentioned; access to 23 the lower portion; along the south side though the 24 site, under the parking deck and again, out through

this area (Indicating). We've been coordinating with

the Fire Deparmtnet, the Police Chief and we have developed our site plan based upon the largest fire engine that the city has.

We had designed a vehicle that we incorporated into our project. We ran turning movements throughout the site and checked to see if we have conflicts. We provided information to the Chief for his review and my understanding is that right now there is a collective process going on where all three emergency services groups are going to be pooling their comments and getting that information to us so we can consider it as we move forward.

As the Chairperson has mentioned, we had a memo from the Police Chief in regards to some of his concerns. Those items will be addressed as we progress forward as well. Some of those concerns dealt with some of the traffic that we've heard from some of you tonight. Again, those comments were issued at the time prior to the traffic study, so there might be some additional information that has come to light with Chief Waldron and anybody within this group to review and provide feedback on.

There is also a larger fire truck that the city has that would have to be accommodated by this project. Although the vehicle size is slightly larger, the

turning movements are the same. We've analyzed that and have incorporated that into the plan, as well.

Right now, on the site, you have circulation. On this lower section of the site, there is a gated access at this point (Indicating), and there is a gated access at this point (Indicating). So the residents in these lower units can be accommodated with their parking by means of this gated access.

Emergency services are certainly a concern with any type of gated services. Emergency services will have full access to these gates in the event that there is an emergency and they need to get down here to the lower section.

All the buildings will be sprinklered. All the buildings will have Siamese connections for the Fire Company. Emergency services, as I mentioned, can circulate through the site. The parking deck clearance has been designed such that the fire trucks can accommodate restrictions and Police Department has been addressed as well in the site. I believe that touches on the three emergency services that we need to be concerned with.

As I said, there is going to be some additional information forthcoming for us. We certainly will address that as it comes forward.

1	MR. COTLER: We can touch on a point. There was a
2	question about the covered walkway going down. I think
3	that you can see on that rendering that we raised the
4	portion so that fire trucks and emergency vehicles can
5	get through those areas.
6	MR. OSTERHOUDT: We had these up here for display
7	and I didn't get to really comment on them. Here you
8	can see the covered walkways (Indicating), the traffic
9	will circulate under for the other section of the
10	property. So, you can see the elevation raised such
11	that they will accommodate emergency vehicles.
12	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Did you discuss wastewater and
13	how it works and that it eventually ends up in the
14	river?
15	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Yes. If there are questions on
16	that, I can answer that.
17	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: There are no questions. I just
18	want to make sure that the gentleman was answered.
19	When you asked for the timeline, did you want the
20	timeline for the project or the Planning Board?
21	FROM THE FLOOR: The project. There are seven
22	buildings that are going to be constructed over a six
23	year period.
24	MR. OSTERHOUDT: I can break that down as it's
25	envisioned at this time.

1 The existing warehouse facility lies in the central portion of the site. That would be the obvious 2 3 first action to take place on the site; all of Phase I, if you will. That is scheduled to be commenced in the 5 spring of 2010. With the demolition of the building, the site 7 development and the prep work will start concurrently. The next phase of the project would involve the 9 construction of south commons west here in the south 10 west portion of the site. 11 The next phase would consist of the town center 12 west building. Phase III - - Bill correct me if I'm wrong here, 13 14 Phase IV would ultimately be the town center, north and 15 south, and then south commons east, and then north yard 16 east being the last phase of the project. 17 These first three phases would be built up front. 18 The rest of it would develop as market demand requires. 19 Hopefully by the time that those are progressing, the 20 economy is going to be turned around, the market will 21 be rebounding and it will be a strong vibrant economy 22 again. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: So you're basically saying that 24 two buildings in 2010 and then one building every year 25 thereafter?

1 MR. OSTERHOUDT: In a perfect world, yes, if the 2 economy picks up. If the demand is there, the project 3 will be built out. It's obviously a very tough market to build and speculation for this magnitude of a 5 project so you have to do it as the market demands it. But the initial build-out of these first three 6 7 buildings will be done as proposed right now over a three year period. 9 MR. SEBER: Rob, what's the plan - once you get 10 the first phase done - in terms of preparing the site 11 or making the site attractive or whatever you want to 12 say - the rest of the site - - what's it going to look like? You have Phase I built and you have eight acres 13 14 of open space. What are those eight acres going to look 15 like? 16 MR. OSTERHOUDT: Well, once that existing 17 building is demolished, once that site prep is completed, the site has to be stabilized. In accordance 18 19 with DEC regulations for stormwater run-off, we have to 20 stabilize the entire site. At this point, that's 21 proposed to be a temporary seed mix. The site will be 22 graded off, it will be seeded and maintained in that 23 condition until the future build-out has progressed. 24 By the site-prep work, I'm primarily talking

about the utilities, completing the water and sanitary

1	sewers, stormwater management facilities that are going
2	to accommodate the rest of the project, in addition to
3	the grading work and some of the ancillary work that is
4	required for the initial build-out.
5	MR. MCNEARY: The retaining wall will be built to
6	separate the two levels. The front three buildings will
7	be built along with their parking and the rest of the
8	site - the lower level would be grass until we build
9	the final four.
10	MR. SEBER: But it will be grass. It won't be
11	just some undeveloped -
12	MR. MCNEARY: Right.
13	MS. REILLY: So there is a chance that it maybe
14	five years after the first three buildings are built.
15	Depending on the economy, you're saying, that's the
16	next step of it?
17	MR. MCNEARY: Yes, the plan is to build one
18	building and as it starts to fill up, you start the
19	second one. As that one fills up, you move onto the
20	next one. We're projecting a five year build-out.
21	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Is there anybody that would
22	like to discuss anything about the traffic patterns
23	that have been questioned?
24	MR. WORSTED: I can speak a little bit to that.
25	There were a couple comments about the traffic;

mainly, the timing of it and traffic occurring earlier in the morning. There was another question about whether a traffic light was going to be needed exiting the site.

Just to address the traffic volumes: We did have a traffic counter out there on Route 4 that counted traffic throughout the period of over 24 hours. That told us how much traffic is out there at 2:00 a.m., 3:00, 4:00, 5:00 and 6:00 in the morning and also throughout the afternoon and evening. From that information, basically at 5:00 in the morning there are about 200 cars passing the site at that time of the morning. When you get to 6:00 in the morning, that jumps up to about 530 cars because you might have people that would be traveling down to Albany who are trying to beat that Northway traffic so they're leaving earlier.

At 7:00 a.m. you have about 750 cars traveling back and forth on Route 4 in front of the site. At about 8:00 in the morning it drops down to about 650 cars and then at 9:00 in the morning, it drops down to about 500 cars. So, you can see that peak that I described earlier basically occurs at about 7 to 8:00 in the morning and then drops off later in the morning. Obviously it continues up throughout the rest of the

day. That information, we have available. We also have available DOT traffic counts. That information will be provided to you by Barton and Loguidice.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that one of the items that they will be tasked with in reviewing is the reasonableness of those traffic counts, the conclusions and the analysis that took place based on that information. I believe that they will be able to verify or dispute whether those traffic volumes are accurate and reasonable.

In regards to whether a traffic light is going to be needed coming out of the site, the answer is no, a traffic light will not be needed. The site isn't going to generate enough traffic to warrant a traffic light. The issue there is that although at certain times you may have trouble getting out on Route 4, whether it be in this area or in Stillwater, there may be only an hour or two during the day or a couple of hours where a traffic light would help you. However for the rest of the day, it's not going to help you because there isn't enough traffic out on Route 4 and there is not enough traffic coming out at the light. So, one of the things that we look at is maybe you put in a traffic light just for a couple of hours, which might otherwise delay people throughout the rest of the day. Or maybe you let people take a little bit longer to get out of a site

1 during those peak times, but without delaying people for the remainder of the day. That's the situation that 2 3 we're in now that particularly in the afternoon it's going to be a little more difficult to get out of the 5 site and pulling out onto Route 4 between 4 and 6:00 when people are traveling home and going up to 6 7 Stillwater through Mechanicville. However, it's such a short time frame in terms of the rest of the day. So, 9 in that respect, there isn't going to be a traffic 10 light proposed there. I don't believe that one will be 11 warranted by the traffic coming in and out of the site. 12 FROM THE FLOOR: What about a turning lane? MR. WORSTED: It's similar case for a turning 13 14 lane. The amount of traffic that is really for the 15 turning lane - you're looking at the traffic that's 16 coming south from Stillwater because that's the traffic that's going to have to stop on Route 4 and wait to 17 turn left into the site. 18 19 FROM THE FLOOR: Is that proposed? 20 MR. WORSTED: No, a turning lane isn't' proposed. 21 The traffic coming in from Stillwater isn't high enough 22 to need a left turn lane. The traffic coming up trough 23 the City Of Mechanicville is where most of the traffic 24 is coming from and obviously all that traffic is going

to make that right turn to go into the site.

1 FROM THE FLOOR: At those peak hours, have you 2 sat there trying to make a left hand turn out? Did you 3 do a time study on how long it would take you and whether your insurance rates are with Geico or with someone else? MR. WORSTED: No, we haven't looked at that. FROM THE FLOOR: Well, you should because it's a realistic project and it's a realistic problem. I don't 9 really believe that there has been enough input put 10 into it. I'm not going to badger you with it, I'm going 11 to suggest that you go look at it and sit in your car 12 tomorrow morning and try it. 13 How many beer trucks are waiting to pull in 14 between 6:30 and 7:30 and what kind of impact that has 15 on traffic and how far back up that goes. One of the 16 best industries that we have - what is it going to do 17 for their safety levels? It needs to be addressed. 18 MR. OSTERHOUDT: Before we go onto the next 19 questions, I would like to just weigh in on that 20 thought a little bit. 21 One of the issues that has come up in the various 22 meetings that we've had with the city and with the 23 city's consultant is a provision for shared access to 24 accommodate some access management along the corridor. 25 There has been shared access talked about to the

adjoining property to the south and if there was any sense to including some kind of shared access provision to the north. I'm sure that the Planning Board would require that as well. However, that's where the NYSEG hydroelectric facility is.

There has been some discussion about shared access to the south so that if there is any future development on this parcel, there could be some access management.

Some of the traffic could be kept off of Route 4 and off Main Street and kept to some of the local roads. It also provides a better pedestrian connection to the south, as well. That's something that's being discussed and will hopefully progress as part of the project.

FROM THE FLOOR: I watch a lot of the elderly come over from the high rise with their carts and going to the store and it's like run rabbit run. It's a serious issue. It's a safety issue. It exists now without your project. Luckily nothing has happened but the majority of things that go on, I would say that let's make them better and not worse.

MR. OSTERHOUDT: As Ken alluded to earlier; CDTC is currently studying both intersections to the south of our site. As part of their study, that's a big

1	focus, so both intersections are being looked at. That
2	report is in a draft or a pre-draft form. I don't know
3	what the schedule is for the completion of that. That
4	is ongoing as well. It existed prior to the project.
5	FROM THE FLOOR: It's from poor planning from
6	years past that got through. It just got pushed
7	through. It's coming through Mechanicville and
8	Stillwater. You have to be able to live with it
9	afterwards.
10	If it's an apartment project, let's
11	identify it as an apartment project and not as
12	condominiums. There maybe more pedestrians; that's
13	what I'm saying.
14	MR. OSTERHOUDT: We've never eluded this project
15	as being a condominium project.
16	FROM THE FLOOR: I read in the Express this
17	morning that it's a condominium. So, it gets a little
18	confusing; condominium or apartment?
19	MR. OSTERHOUDT: I'm not sure how that surfaced.
20	FROM THE FLOOR: That's not a negative thing.
21	It's positive. We want a safe pedestrian environment.
22	MR. OSTERHOUDT: That's what this project is
23	geared toward; pedestrian movement. We want to make it
24	easier for pedestrians of this facility and the
25	adjoining facilities.

1	FROM THE FLOOR: I'd like the safety departments
2	to do a little review into this because it does involve
3	the citizens' safety. That's existing now with traffic
4	patterns the way that they are. So, I'm not putting all
5	the expense on you but if we're talking DOT, let's talk
6	honesty. Tell them that we're dissatisfied with the way
7	that people cross the street and the way that things
8	are. Let's share the expense.
9	MR. OSTERHOUDT: I think that's going to be part
10	of that CDTC study. I think that there are
11	recommendations that the board will see.
12	FROM THE FLOOR: Just to reinforce that, I did
13	bring a petition to our City Council from all the
14	senior citizens over here in this building regarding
15	their concerns that they couldn't get across that
16	intersection to go get their groceries. I'd like to see
17	these concerns be addressed, in addition to these other
18	things. The Police Chief will be putting out little
19	yellow signs that we put in the middle of the road for
20	the first time this morning for the cars that are going
21	by there - that there are people crossing there. I

MR. OSTERHOUDT: I'm going to let the Chairperson

have to get around.

don't know how many people live in the senior center,

do you Jim? I think there are 100 units there. They

22

23

1 handle the questions. Please direct any of your 2 questions toward the Planning Board, please. 3 FROM THE FLOOR: There was a statement there about the shared access. Could you flip back in your 5 chart? Do you have more information on that? Yes, it was mentioned, among the traffic 7 concerns, that there was the possibility of using that other access. 9 MR. GREEN: In the workshop, we were asked by the 10 Chairwoman of the Planning Board if a curb cut could be 11 provided on this parcel edge for future potential of a 12 shared access. So, our plan is being modified so that in the event that this land is developed and it needs 13 14 to have a shared access, that we would provide that 15 curb cut for that access. Not that we are proposing 16 that shared access, but that we would accommodate the Planning Board's request for a shared access curb cut 17 18 for potential future development. 19 There is no plan before the Planning Board at 20 this time on the development of the DeCrescente 21 property and the softball property. We don't know how 22 that's going to be developed. 23 In the event that it is developed and it requires 24 a shared access, we're going to accommodate a curb cut,

if that is something that the city is interested in.

1 Did I clarify that? 2 FROM THE FLOOR: I thought that it was being 3 presented as if that was another egress and ingress to your property. Can you commit to making that available 5 at this point in time? MR. GREEN: We don't know what's going to be 7 developed here. The Planning Board has requested that if it's required, which we don't know if it's going to 9 be required, would there be shared access capability? We said, yes, we will make shared access capability 10 available here in this location in the event that this 11 12 project is developed and it is required by the City Planning Board or the City Council. 13 CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Can you speak to one more 14 15 point? What is the benefit to the citizens of 16 Mechanic ville to this project? 17 MR. GREEN: I've got a study that will knock your 18 socks off. 19 There are some significant positive impacts that 20 result from the tax base, the job creation and the 21 various aspects of this project. 22 Camoin Associates has done an excellent job in 23 providing us empirical data on that subject. The 24 mixed-use development, which is proposed to be built on 25 this site, will have a substantive positive impact on

1	the community. We looked at the general fund of the
2	city, the water fund, the sewer fund and the total net
3	impact to the city is some \$387,000 a year in positive
4	benefits. If you add in Mechanicville City School
5	District and the total net impact of the school
6	district, there is an additional \$195,000 per year that
7	is added to this positive benefit for a total of about
8	\$582,000 per year; a half million dollars a year in
9	positive benefits.
10	That's taking into account the negative benefits
11	that you're going to bring. That might be that you
12	might have more children here. You may have to have to
13	spend a little bit more in public safety. Your
14	ambulance corp might come out a few more times, or your
15	Fire Department might come out a couple more times. But
16	after you look at the overall cost benefit back and
17	forth, this is what we believe will be the positive
18	benefit as a result of this project. I might add that
19	it's a much smaller benefit in the Town of Stillwater.
20	Still, you have the positive benefit of \$10,700 in new
21	property taxes in the Town of Stillwater as well.
22	MS. SLEVIN: Can you also talk to the public
23	access benefit?
24	MR. GREEN: Absolutely. Right now it's an

industrial site. No one wants to go on that site

1 because it's dangerous. Industrial sites have trucks 2 and busses and all kinds of things. 3 A mixed-use site gives us access. It gives us access to the river. It gives us an opportunity to walk 5 along the river and gives us trails, it gives us passive recreation and biking. That's something that I 7 think is a major benefit to the community, as well. It realizes your master plan because your master 9 plan says that you're not taking advantage of the 10 river. You're not taking advantage of those view sheds 11 that are along the river and this project does. It 12 gives you much better access for pedestrian potential. We will submit this fiscal impact study and have, 13 14 I think, submitted -15 MS. SLEVIN: We have submitted it and Carmen also 16 reviewed it. 17 MR. GREEN: Good. I know that in my heart that 18 this project is a benefit to the community as opposed to a drain on the community. That's the bottom line. 19 20 MR. SEBER: Ken, can I ask a question real quick? 21 Ken, when it's all built out, the facility that 22 you're going to have over there - just the park area 23 over there in the greenspace, will that be available to 24 city residents or is that going to be restricted to 25 people that live there?

1 MR. COTLER: I'm Steve Cotler and I'm the 2 architect. On the screen behind you, although it's moving 3 quite quickly, it's a walk through from North Main Street and it shows the central building. When we go through the beginning, it will show that that central 7 building of town central west has a big open area and an arcade that welcomes the community into the site. As 9 you go through that arcade, you cross over and go over 10 into the lower area and there are shops that welcome 11 the entire community. There will be lawn areas where 12 people can picnic and watch concerts, if there are some small concerts. We're looking to have a small movie 13 14 theater and restaurants. I believe that before we talked about some of the 15 16 other shops, coffee shops and other types of places 17 where people can gather. It's not just the people in 18 these buildings, it's the general community also. FROM THE FLOOR: Can we go back to the traffic 19 20 study again? I was just wondering if a traffic study is 21 inclusive of the weekends when there is really more 22 people in and out of that plaza for shopping.

Nancy Strang-VanDeBogart 518-542-7699

with sensors to be used for a traffic light?

Why couldn't we get a caution light, basically

MR. WORSTED: The traffic study isn't inclusive

23

24

of the weekend simply because rezoning, which is the first component of the project, is in comparison to residential portion of it.

On the weekend, yeah, you're probably going to have some of the shops operating and so forth but you'll have a lot of residents who don't have to travel to work during the day. They'll be home or running errands or whatever they're doing on weekends. So, for the most part we're looking at the traffic study relative to the peak time of traffic outside of the site on the area roadways and also the peak traffic that is occurring within the site, in this particular development. It's the morning peak times during weekday and the afternoon peak times of the weekday when you have the retail and the offices and the residential all effecting the traffic at the same time.

With regards to the caution light: When you speak in terms of putting seniors on the side road with a traffic light but only on during certain times - I think that's what you're indicating. A lot of times there are traffic lights like that, especially when you get into the rural areas where you might need that traffic light from 6:00 in the morning until 8:00 or 9:00 at night. Really during the overnight there's really not enough traffic to need a light. A lot of

times the light will go on flash; yellow for the main line and red for the side street.

In this particular area, I can't speak for the city but in terms of DOT and just from an operational standpoint, you wouldn't want the traffic light to just come on for a couple of hours. You are kind of introducing a non-consistent traffic control signal to the general public where they could be driving through there. Nine times out of ten the traffic light isn't on but it's the one time that it is on and they're not paying attention and then they just go right through it because only for a couple of hours during the day or that time period it happens to be on.

So, if you're going to put in a traffic signal, it's better to have it in and turned on for those daylight hours, if you will, as it certainly will be more needed than during the off-peak.

There are some situations where there are traffic lights and most often they are handled by traffic control officers. The example that comes to mind most recently is that when you have a school, really you don't have lots of traffic coming out of the school because it's all in the morning and then it's all in the afternoon. The rest of the time there isn't really a lot of traffic. So, a similar example would be having

1 that light on in the morning for an hour and maybe an 2 hour in the afternoon. Oftentimes a police officer 3 would come out, if a school has that big of an issue, to help. 5 To answer your question: we don't feel that this location would warrant putting in a traffic signal when 6 7 it would only be operating a couple of hours a day. MR. IZZO: I have a question. There was a rumor 9 about a roundabout being considered for that area. Is that a rumor or has that been discussed? 10 11 MR. WORSTED: It hasn't been discussed in the presence of the project that we're looking at. I do 12 believe that there is a long-term study of the 13 14 Mechanicville area that CDTC is working on. I do 15 believe that they may have a long-term recommendation 16 for a roundabout, eventually, at the intersection of 17 North Main Street and Route 67, Price Chopper and 18 potentially at Route 67 and Central Avenue. However, I don't know what the recommendations are of that study. 19 20 It is in a draft form that is being circulated to a 21 review team, but it hasn't been put out to the public 22 for review yet. I can't really speculate on what the 23 recommendations of that study will ultimately be. 24 CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Before I turn things back over

25

to the Mayor, I'd like to thank the citizens for coming

1	forward and sharing your views of this project and I
2	also want to thank the developers for putting up with
3	us the last few weeks. We appreciate hearing from you,
4	too.
5	On that, I'm going to ask the board what they
6	think.
7	MR. NAMM: Actually, I think the focus is really
8	on the rezoning issue so I'm going to reserve my
9	questions on the actual site plan review for the
10	future.
11	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Joel?
12	MR. DEPEAUX: I did have one question that I
13	asked earlier and I believe that it was going to be
14	addressed today. It was about the demolition and the
15	traffic.
16	During the demolition, how is that going to
17	affect the additional traffic and then removing the
18	debris? How is that going to affect the traffic coming
19	in and out at that period of time? I don't know if thi
20	is a good time to address it.
21	MR. WORSTED: I can't speak too much to the
22	demolition because I'm not really familiar with how th
23	building will be taken apart and the components of it.
24	I imagine that they have a lot of steel in there so I'
25	sure it will be taken apart somewhat in an organized

1 fashion and chopped up into manageable pieces and 2 hauled off in some type of dump truck or tractor 3 trailer. MR. DEPEAUX: I'm thinking to myself that if 5 you've got something like that going on, you're going to have constant flow of traffic coming in and out. I 6 7 don't know whether you can address that now or not. MR. OSTERHOUDT: I think I can take it from here, 9 Ken. 10 What we're looking at for the demolition right now - a lot of this building is brick - a very thick 11 brick wall structure underlying the metal of the 12 existing warehouse. A lot of that material is going to 13 14 be used on-site for fill material. We have a construction manager on our project team that we've 15 16 been working with him regularly. 17 Part of the demolition process will involve 18 crumbling or grinding down that brick into a suitable 19 size material and that's going to handle a lot of the 20 debris from the demolition. Obviously that will have to 21 be transported off-site and disposed of properly. There 22 will be truck traffic associated with that in 23 accordance with DEC regulations. We'll have stabilized 24 construction entrances. We'll be responsible for

maintaining control on the site and all of that will

1 have to be monitored as construction progresses. Those 2 will all be addressed in our stormwater pollution 3 prevention plan and as we progress things forward with the rest of the project, we will be addressing any other concerns that later arise. We will be working 5 with the town's consultants and handle any issues like 7 that that come up. MR. GREEN: Actually when you think about the 9 amount of trucks going into the site as a warehouse 10 today, the construction trucks that are temporary 11 during demolition will be much less of an impact than 12 the amount of trucks that are going in and out of the 13 site today as a warehouse. So, the impacts are somewhat 14 diminished as a result. The school busses that are on 15 the site have to be relocated to another location. 16 CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Sue 17 MS. PELUSO: No questions. 18 CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Gary? 19 MR. GEURTZE: Foot traffic is still a concern to 20 me. You have indicated that there really wasn't a study 21 on it. I think that there should be a study for that 22 because with the mid rise here and the additional 23 apartments that we're going to have, with the proximity

24

25

of Price Chopper across the street, I think that you're

going to see a lot more foot traffic going across

Route 4 that really ought to be concentrated on to see what is necessary to safeguard the elderly people, which many of us are approaching rapidly.

MR. WORSTED: Certainly, I think that's one of the benefits of the project and one of the key components of it. Having this mixed-use and city kind of atmosphere, it promotes the feel of community and being able to obviously come from the project and go down to the river and also the trail that may connect to the south and being able to connect and use the sidewalks system in the city to be able to reach other parts of the city.

Here (Indicating), the project site is right across the street from the grocery store so you may have residents who obviously only want to go grocery shopping once a week and they may on their way home stop at Price Chopper and then drive across the street. You may have others who may enjoy walking and they go out and do grocery shopping every day and just use a little bag that they can carry. With that, there is exercise and healthy living aspects that go along with that.

One of the concerns that we have from that standpoint would be from the CDTC and the pedestrian access through those intersections.

1	Today, you have curb cuts that lead to cross-
2	walks that are behind where the stop bar is so that you
3	have traffic that is driving through the cross-walk and
4	then they stop at a traffic light. You have curb cuts
5	that bring you down into the street, but there is no
6	cross-walk there. The two intersections in particular
7	have signals there near the site and you don't have any
8	signals that tell you when you would cross the street.
9	Obviously there would be enhancements to the
10	senior center here (Indicating) and the tower behind
11	us, but also the residents of the apartment site.
12	So, I think those are key aspects that will be
13	focused on by not only the Planning Board, but also the
14	larger study groups that the pedestrian connection is
15	not just from this area, but also to other areas of the
16	city.
17	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Dick?
18	MR. DELANEY: I don't have any other questions at
19	this time.
20	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Larry?
21	MR. CASE: I don't have any questions at this
22	time.
23	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Should I close the meeting and
24	then turn it back over to the Mayor?
25	MR. SERBALIK: You adjourn your meeting.

1	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Before you do that, Mary Grace,
2	We can't vote on this until we get the SEQRA. Does the
3	board think that this is a good move for us to make,
4	that we do this mixed-use change?
5	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: I speak for myself and I would
6	like to take a vote on it. I would like to see the
7	project move forward. Obviously we don't have all the
8	information. We certainly have heard more tonight than
9	we have previously. My recommendation to the board
10	would be that we recommend that the project continue
11	and that the city support it.
12	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Right, support to make our
13	change.
14	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Can someone put that into a
15	motion please?
16	MR. DEPEAUX: I make the motion that we accept
17	the variance and the zoning regulations and accept it.
18	MR. GEURTZE: I second that.
19	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: All in favor?
20	(Ayes were recited.)
21	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: Opposed?
22	(There were none opposed.)
23	CHAIRPERSON IZZO: So we'll close everything at
24	the same time.
25	Mary Beth, there were a lot of other questions

1 that were asked. I see that you wrote some down. Can 2 you start addressing some of those? 3 MS. SLEVIN: Certainly although I think that thanks to the Chairwoman, there is not too much left, 5 but we'll go through it. There were a number of discussions about traffic 7 and about pedestrian access. I believe that we addressed the issue of phasing of the project. We did talk about fire, rescue and police and 10 emergency services. I believe that Rob also reviewed 11 the issue of whether there would be hook-ups and water 12 in the back and whether there was going to be a traffic light at the exit. We talked a bit about the zero 13 14 setbacks. We can talk about that again, 15 The zero setbacks were proposed as a mechanism 16 that really is consistent with mixed-use zones that 17 many municipalities are looking at. It's viewed as a 18 traffic calming mechanism to bring the building up to 19 the street to promote the creation of a streetscape 20 with respect to the particular project. The idea is to 21 create an inviting environment which allows persons 22 within the project and about the project to have a 23 feeling of being welcomed into it. 24 Steve Cotler describes that we actually have a 25 promenade that goes through the center of the project

1	to invite folks in. The zero setback is really part of
2	that whole scene. The project hasn't been designed to
3	utilize the zero setbacks and in fact we have setbacks
4	between five to ten feet with the minimum being five
5	feet. In some spots it's a little bit larger, but the
6	idea is to create an inviting environment that does
7	provide an immediate streetscape of the downtown and to
8	provide that traffic calming mechanism as folks travel
9	along in their vehicles along side of the property.
10	We did talk about water and sewer. We talked
11	about the pump station and we will continue to review
12	those issues with the city and with the city's
13	consultants in terms of what improvements are required
14	there.
15	MR. SEBER: Mary Beth, there was a question and I
16	found it interesting.
17	Mr. Coleman related to the second pump station
18	down on Ferry Street and what impact that would have.
19	That was the question right?
20	MR. COLEMAN: Yes.
21	MAYOR SYLVESTER: We're probably looking into
22	upgrading those with the balance of the sewer money
23	that we had. I've been with Barton and Loguidice and
24	we've looked at both pump houses.
25	MR. SEBER: So we'll have the opportunity to

1 upgrade those so that the problem will not be a 2 problem. 3 MAYOR SYLVESTER: We've talked to Barton and Loguidice about that and we've taken pictures of 5 things. That's been in the works for a few months. MR. FLETCHER: Yes, it's two steps. We're working 7 with DEC to apply the money to the two pump stations; the one of Ferry Street and the one behind City Hall. 9 Part of that evaluation, we're waiting for the sewer 10 report from the developer and the consultant is 11 carrying it all downstream. 12 As we talked about it at a previous meeting, it's starting sewer review. What do we want to look at as we 13 14 go forward and not just upgrading that station for this 15 project and for what already goes but is there anything 16 else in the sewer shed that would go in this area so 17 that the station in three years, five years, one year 18 doesn't need to be upgraded again? So, it's having some 19 smart growth review of what that station needs to be

Is the existing force adequately sized. When that leads to that second pump station does that second pump station have the capability to accept the flow? That's all being reviewed right now and there is no definitive

designed for and then it's what are the downstream

20

21

22

23

24

25

impacts?

1 answers on anything. What we're trying to do is tie the 2 improvements in with the rest of the sewer money if 3 improvements need to be done so that we could couple it all together. 5 MR. SEBER: What we don't want to happen is have someone flush a toilet in City Hall and have nothing 6 7 happen. MS. SLEVIN: To answer your question, we 9 understand that those things are going on and we will 10 coordinate with the city. Not with just respect to the 11 review on the pump station on the site, but also on the 12 larger infrastructure that the city has because that needs to be considered. 13 14 Mr. Coleman also asked if a copy of the plans 15 could be made available in the town's offices. We can 16 make an additional copy available if that's 17 appropriate. The City Council can tell us what their 18 preference is. 19 MR. FLETCHER: The only thing that I wanted to 20 add is about the water system. It's being reviewed as 21 part of the water system right now. The water model is 22 being developed by the city - in looking at the system 23 throughout the city and what will be evaluated as part 24 of that model and what are the impacts from this

project on the water system and are there any

1 improvements needed as part of the water system in 2 terms of the flow or the pressure. 3 I think the concern at this point, more than anything, is due to the size of the height of the 5 buildings and the sprinkler system throughout. Will there be sufficient pressure at the top of the 7 building? MS. SLEVIN: Those are obviously very important 9 issues. We are working with the city's consultants to review the issues of water and sewer and make sure that 10 11 there is going to be adequate capacity and adequate 12 pressures and that is an ongoing dialogue that we hope to develop more fully. 13 That is the list of additional concerns that I 14 15 had. Obviously, if there is anything else from the City 16 Council, the Planning Board or the public we're still 17 available to respond to anything. 18 MR. SERBALIK: I have one question. We're talking 19 about the water hook-ups with the city and I believe 20 that it was agreed that we thought it would be a one 21 water hook-up into the project as opposed to individual 22 water meters for each of the entities or units. 23 MS. SLEVIN: I think that's one way to deal with 24 it. I'm not sure that's the definitive decision as to

how it's going to be handled, but we certainly will

work with the city to find a conclusion on that.

MAYOR SYLVESTER: I just want to make mention that we have a water study going on with the Town of Stillwater and the Village of Stillwater. We have a \$356,000 grant for that and it was kind of held up. We're starting back up on that project.

MS. SLEVIN: I believe that's the modeling that
Don was just speaking about. That's the model that we
need to look at with the city's system overall and then
look at the specific impacts of this project and how it
kind of translates into whether there is a positive or
negative benefit to be accommodated to make sure that
the infrastructure is adequate.

MAYOR SLYVESTER: Right and we would be doing the hydrant flushing one day next week. We'll have to get it out to the public. We wanted to do it this week but we couldn't get it out to the public in time to notify anybody.

MR. GREEN: I just want to make a comment. Having just attended the Town of Stillwater's Planning Board meeting, they did, as your Planning Board has, made a unanimous positive recommendation of the rezoning of their portion of the project, as your Planning Board has done for your portion of the project. So they are aligned similarly without divergence and both Planning

1	Boards have approved a positive recommendation.
2	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Okay, thank you.
3	MR. COLEMAN: I have just one question and it was
4	asked previously on the market analysis. Who is going
5	to be able to rent these units?
6	MR. GREEN: We have a market analysis done, Mike,
7	on this project. It looked at the various types of
8	sizes of units. We've looked at whether it should be a
9	two bedroom unit or a three bedroom unit and what the
10	sizes of those units would be. We looked at all the
11	projects in the region in terms of our completion and
12	position this project with the lion's share of its use
13	as single family, one bedroom units with a few more
14	units than the two bedroom. These studies were done by
15	parties that know this market and they've also done the
16	impact analysis.
17	MR. COLEMAN: But do you know who is going to pay
18	\$800 to \$1,300 for an apartment?
19	MR. GREEN: Steeple Chase happens to be one that
20	is very similar to this project. Their apartments start
21	at \$1,000 and they move on up and they filled up before
22	they were constructed. They have the Hudson River as a
23	beautiful view. So we believe that we will be
24	successful with this.
25	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Does anyone else have any other

1 questions that haven't been answered? 2 MR. GEURTZE: I'm asking that you consider a 3 topic that we haven't even broached and that is locating several bicycle racks around the grounds because I'm certain that there is going to be a lot of bikers - rather than having them thrown all over the 7 lawns. MR. GREEN: Good idea. It's going to cost 25 9 cents a half hour to put your bike there. 10 You're right. When we do the site plan review 11 with the Planning Board, those are the kinds of things 12 that we are going to get into in terms of where they would be located and how they would be policed and 13 14 those kinds of things. Good point. 15 FROM THE FLOOR: I just want to make one quick 16 comment. Camoin Associates did the study for the 17 property taxes and for the school taxes, but as my good 18 friend Chris said, this will impact the amount of 19 revenue that the city receives from Saratoga County 20 sales tax. We're going to be putting in better numbers 21 into that sales tax formula, right Chris? 22 FROM THE FLOOR: Hallelujah. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: And that's going to increase the 24 sales tax revenue that the city receives from Saratoga

County. So that's an important fact also. I just wanted

1	to bring that up also.
2	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Anyone else?
3	FROM THE FLOOR: Right now we do get revenue from
4	that site right?
5	MAYOR SYLVESTER: Yes.
6	FROM THE FLOOR: Now we're going to take the tax
7	revenue that we're getting from that site right now and
8	it's going to disappear. They talk about maybe in five
9	years they're going to put up two buildings?
10	MR. SEBER: Hopefully in five years, it will be
11	all built out.
12	FROM THE FLOOR: If the economy - and you can
13	sell it for \$1,000 or \$1,200 a month, I guess. At first
14	we'll have two buildings. Compared to what we have now,
15	what is that going to do for my taxes?
16	MR. SEBER: Right now they're assessed at about
17	\$3,000,000. I don't know what the assessed value of
18	those two buildings will be and depending on the
19	time the assessment is a tricky thing because the
20	assessment changes. August 15 th is technically the last
21	day so depending on when they demolish in whatever time
22	frame, it may not impact things. Clearly if they're
23	down and nothing is going up, it will have some impact.
24	I have no way of speculating on what the assessment
25	will be on those properties. When you start building

1 four, I think that quickly it will bring it back 2 certainly to the level that it currently is. 3 Remember that you're talking about a \$30,000,000 project. To answer your question: Could some short term 5 be some sort of negative impact in terms of assessed value? Yes, but hopefully long-term is going to make up 6 7 for that as well. MAYOR SYLVESTER: I just want to make a comment. 9 There are whole houses and apartments that go for \$1,000 and \$1,200 in the City of Mechanicville. We're 10 11 homeowners so we don't even pay attention to that 12 stuff, but there are a few townhouses or apartments that go for that in the City of Mechanicville. I don't 13 14 want to mention the man's name. It's his personal 15 business, but I do know that there are projects that do 16 generate that kind of income. 17 Anybody else? 18 FROM THE FLOOR: Mayor, I would just like to say 19 a couple of words. I know that the positives certainly 20 outweigh the negatives to this development. I am 21 concerned. 22 I think that the traffic is going to be a 23 problem. I know when they built the Price Chopper lot, 24 they weren't even going to put a street light up. I 25 think that we all realize right now that if there

1	wash't a traffic light there, there would be chaos.
2	Believe me when I say that. So, I would certainly hope
3	that they look into that closer and as far as I'm
4	concerned, the project should be a go.
5	MAYOR SLYVESTER: The light in front of Price
6	Chopper is so misaligned; both when someone is coming
7	out of the parking lot and wanting to make a right hand
8	turn to go to Stillwater and someone is coming the
9	other way. This is chaos right now. Something is going
10	to be done with that.
11	FROM THE TOWN: Mayor, I do know that I walk
12	around town in the morning and when I pick up a
13	shopping cart in this lot to bring it to Price Chopper,
14	I can't walk across the street. I have to run.
15	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Like I said, it's misaligned.
16	Even the cross-walk is misaligned.
17	FROM THE FLOOR: I should mention one other thing
18	that concerns me and that's dollars.
19	I heard that the grants are going to be out there
20	and we've got to spend the money before you're going to
21	get the money from the grant. I'm just hoping that the
22	city doesn't put themselves on the hook for any money.
23	I think that should be clarified so that everybody can
24	go away from here feeling easy that the city isn't
25	going to be on the hook for any money

1 MAYOR SLYVESTER: There is an escrow account set 2 up already. They are paying already to put the grant 3 in. Barton and Loguidice is writing the grant for the city, paying legal fees and they will be putting up the 5 10% if we get the money. There is no cost to the city for this project. This is a win/win situation. 7 Anyone else have anything else? FROM THE FLOOR: I just wanted to know if this 9 project is developed will this lower our taxes and do 10 you know of any other plans that the city has to bring 11 some kind of business here along with this? 12 MR. GREEN: It's very prudent and with the financing that's available today for these kinds of 13 14 projects that you do them one building at a time. You 15 can't build the whole thing, \$30,000,000 all in one 16 shot. It's too much of a risk. So, we will, over five 17 years, be building one, two three, four, five, six, 18 seven buildings over time; over five years. The funding for the first building and the infrastructure and the 19 20 demolishment of the warehouse is pretty much assured in 21 terms of having the funding to do that. It's just a 22 matter of the only risk factor of how fast these other

The second part of the question relates to: Will this project have a positive impact on your taxes? And

buildings will fill up in terms of tenants.

23

24

to the extent that the existing warehouses sitting on the taxes today - the study that was done by a third party expert says that there will be more taxes generated as a result of this project then will be generated from the warehouse. So, whether your taxes go up or down probably will have more to do with the state of New York than your City Council or your school district. They will have something to do with state mandates and state subsidies of schools than this project. The fact of the matter is that there will be more tax benefit from this project than there will be from the warehouse.

In terms of this being a catalyst, absolutely already I've talked to several developers in this community who have plans to buy projects, buy buildings and redevelop them within the city as a result of our project, as well was a result of the foundry project in Malta and Stillwater. So, there is a rebirth occurring. It takes time and it has to have a project to get it started and move it along and that's what this project does.

MAYOR SLYVESTER: We have other grants out for different projects that the city does. We have another developer in the city whose bill is almost one million dollars worth of assessment on our tax rolls just down

1	the street from me. I think he's going to put eight or
2	nine houses in there. He's going to buy more property
3	down there from people that are looking to sell their
4	home and I think that's another five or six thousand.
5	So, we're getting there by baby steps.
6	FROM THE FLOOR: Will it ever get to the point
7	where our water bill and things like that will go down?
8	You have \$200 a toilet. I didn't know that going to the
9	bathroom could be that expensive. We own property and
10	we have three apartments where we live and there's \$600
11	right there for toilets.
12	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Once we get to the point where
13	we have enough money to maintain the assessment, I'm
14	looking forward to cutting that in half somewhere along
15	the line.
16	Mike, do you have a question?
17	MR. NAMM: I just wondered if the bus garage will
18	be relocated within the city.
19	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Yes, we hope.
20	MR. NAMM: So the idea is that is going to come
21	back on our tax rolls.
22	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Right.
23	MR. NAMM: So when we look at the study, she
24	probably didn't take that into consideration whereas
25	common sense would tell us that if they just relocate

_	to a different place in the city, we le still going to
2	get those taxes, plus we're going to have a positive
3	impact.
4	MAYOR SLYVESTER: And that would also be with
5	another new building - a two to three million dollar
6	building. It's a win/win situation there, too. It keeps
7	like 30 or 35 jobs in the town. These people do spend
8	money at our places in town like restaurants and they
9	buy gas here and shop.
10	Anybody have anything else?
11	MR. GROUT: Mayor, Roger Grout, business owner in
12	town; McDonalds. Maybe the second largest employer in
13	town.
14	First of all North Carolina is kicking. They're
15	up 20 points in the first half.
16	Besides that and the need to get home and watch
17	it, this is a project that we need to do. Our
18	community, our city needs more good stuff to have. It's
19	been a long time coming.
20	The question about the bathrooms - we have a
21	tremendous infrastructure issue, still. Mayor, we
22	aren't going to be able to lower the rates for awhile
23	because we have to catch up the sewers that we're
24	patching a little bit at a time. That's costing us more
25	money to patch than if we had the money to really do it

1 the right way. I think it's going to take time, but 2 this is an example of a project that's going to help us 3 do that. We need those positive things. We need to be in the newspaper for the right things, which we have 5 recently because of this project. We can't go blindly forward. We all need to be careful and we need to take 7 the right steps to do it from all of our perspectives as residents and business people. 9 But ultimately, this is something that's going to 10 help us. It's going to help us be better and make us 11 feel better about being part of Mechanicville. We have to do the right things for the people that have lived 12 here and do business here and have for a long period of 13 14 time. 15 I think at the same time we have to think outside 16 the box and look at this as an opportunity to help us 17 do that and help us all get to a higher level. It's a 18 good thing and if we can move forward more quickly than 19 we are and reasonably in the right way, we need to do 20 that. I think that it's up to the city to help support 21 that and the community too, as well. 22 MAYOR SYLVESTER: Anyone else? 23 FROM THE FLOOR: I have something. We're working 24 on this project and now I want to talk outside the box. 25 All of you know that we're working under the

1	stock market. Not only are we working on this project,
2	but we want to help other businesses in Mechanicville
3	with their businesses by helping them with the grants
4	and sprucing up their building. Not only are they
5	working here, but by the time the town gets through
6	we'll be in a very good position with our city. We need
7	to work together on all the projects coming up.
8	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Thank you for your efforts.
9	I'd like to say before I close the meeting that
10	if you have anything that hasn't been answered or if
11	you think of something, please e-mail it to me or send
12	it to me in writing. We have up until Friday. You can
13	call me on the phone and I'll just give you the phone
14	number to Mary Beth's and you can call her and you can
15	get it direct. If you could e-mail me or something,
16	I'll get it to them and get that question answered. I
17	don't want anybody to think that the questions haven't
18	been answered. I want you to go away from this meeting
19	happy and to think highly of this city. This is a great
20	little town in New York State.
21	I'd like to adjourn this portion of the public
22	hearing at 10:00 p.m. and go back into the City Council
23	meeting at 10:00 p.m.
24	Can I have a motion?
25	MS. REILLY: I make the motion.

1	MR. SEBER: Second.
2	Anybody opposed?
3	(There were none opposed.)
4	MAYOR SLYVESTER: Now I'd like to close both the
5	Planning Board meeting and the City council meeting at
6	10:01.
7	MS. REILLY: Motion.
3	MR. SEBER: Second.
Ş	MAYOR SLYVESTER: All in favor?
10	
11	(Ayes were recited.)
12	
13	(Whereas the proceeding concerning the above entitled
14	matter was adjourned at 10:01 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I, NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, Notary Public in
5	and for the State of New York, hereby CERTIFY that the
6	record taped and transcribed by me at the time and
7	place noted in the heading hereof is a true and
8	accurate transcript of same, to the best of my ability
9	and belief.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART
15	
16	
17	Dated April 8, 2009
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	